



CAN NHS BODIES LAWFULLY MOVE AWAY FROM A "PAYMENT BY RESULTS" CONTRACT?



The NHS's Sustainability and Transformation Plans have attracted a large amount of interest from patient groups, Member of Parliament and the media. There are significant problems throughout the health and social care system as both commissioners and hospital trusts struggle to deliver services to an appropriate standard in the face of ever increasing volumes of patients who require NHS treatment for multiple, complex conditions. Difficult issues require radical solutions, but there is a real question as to whether what is currently proposed is lawful.

The present system requires commissioners to enter into standard "Payment by Results" (**PbR**) contracts with providers. A PbR contract between an NHS commissioner and an NHS "provider" (the hospital

trust) is compulsory for all services provided to NHS patients¹.

Monitor (now part of NHS Improvement) is obliged² to publish a National Tariff³. This sets the price paid by NHS commissioners to hospital trusts for supplying specific services to NHS patients. This National Tariff applies to the vast majority of procedures carried out by acute hospitals. Every hospital trust which provides these specific services for an NHS patient has to be paid the price set out in the National Tariff, under section 115(1) of the Health and Social Care Act 2012 (2012 Act).

The 2012 Act does permit commissioners and hospital trusts to agree a different price for a service from the National Tariff, but this is a complex procedure and requires Monitor's express approval. Monitor can only give that approval if it is satisfied that it would be uneconomic for the hospital trust to provide the service for the NHS if it was paid the price under the National Tariff.

Some NHS commissioners and hospital trusts are discussing the possibility of moving away from PbR contracts to a "block contracting" arrangement where the hospital trust is paid a fixed amount of money by a commissioner to treat all the patients which the commissioner refers to the hospital trust in a particular year. New forms of contract are being discussed as part of the creation of these "Accountable Care Organisation" arrangements. There are strong arguments that the 2012 Act makes such contracts unlawful. That said, there have not been any legal challenges to date and so the courts have not yet ruled on this.

A move away from a PbR contract might give some financial certainty to the hospital trust because there would be a set income within a defined financial year. But there would also be no control over the number of referrals, so this would also involve massive financial risks for hospital trusts. They would have to continue to provide the services however large the numbers of patients referred to them.

¹ See Part 5 of the National Health Service Commissioning Board and Clinical Commissioning Groups (Responsibilities and Standing Rules) Regulations 2012.

² The requirement is in section 116 of the Health and Social Care Act 2012.

³ The present national tariff is at NHS National Tariff Payment System 2016/17.

The more significant legal problem is that these contracts, which move away from the National Tariff payment system, would breach the rigid rules set out in the 2012 Act. Payment of the National Tariff is a legal requirement for these services. It is therefore difficult to see how commissioners and hospital trusts could lawfully enter into a contract with any other form of payment arrangement.

NHS commissioners and hospital trusts have a general duty to act lawfully. So unless the government repeals the relevant parts of the 2012 Act, any NHS commissioner or hospital trust which enters into an acute services contract in any form other than the standard NHS Acute Services contract (which is the PbR contract) will run the risk of acting unlawfully.

We understand that the draft proposals are still in the course of being put together. Great care will be required in reviewing and finalising any proposals, and in engaging with the NHS trusts and the wider stakeholder group (including the patients and the communities which the NHS serves), to ensure that the very real problems which the NHS faces are not compounded by a failure to deal with what the 2012 Act presently requires.

Key contacts

BILL GILLIAMPartner
Addleshaw Goddard

0113 209 2442 Bill.Gilliam@addleshawgoddard.com



CLARE DWYERLegal Director
Addleshaw Goddard

+44 (0)161 934 6534 Clare.Dwyer@addleshawgoddard.com



DAVID LOCK QC Barrister Landmark Chambers

+44 (0)20 7430 1221 DLock@landmarkchambers.co.uk



10-8561652-3

addleshawgoddard.com

Doha, Dubai, Hong Kong, Leeds, London, Manchester, Muscat, Singapore and Tokyo*

*a formal alliance with Hashidate Law Office

© 2016 Addleshaw Goddard LLP. All rights reserved. Extracts may be copied with prior permission and provided their source is acknowledged.

This document is for general information only. It is not legal advice and should not be acted or relied on as being so, accordingly Addleshaw Goddard disclaims any responsibility. It does not create a solicitor-client relationship between Addleshaw Goddard and any other person. Legal advice should be taken before applying any information in this document to any facts and circumstances.

Addleshaw Goddard is an international legal practice carried on by Addleshaw Goddard LLP (a limited liability partnership registered in England & Wales and authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority) and its affiliated undertakings. Addleshaw Goddard operates in the Dubai International Financial Centre through Addleshaw Goddard (Middle East) LLP (registered with and regulated by the DFSA), in the Qatar Financial Centre through Addleshaw Goddard (GCC) LLP (licensed by the QFCA), in Oman through Addleshaw Goddard (Middle East) LLP in association with Nasser Al Habsi & Saif Al Mamari Law Firm (licensed by the Oman Ministry of Justice) and in Hong Kong through Addleshaw Goddard (Hong Kong) LLP (a limited liability partnership registered in England & Wales and registered and regulated as a foreign law firm by the Law Society of Hong Kong, operating in Hong Kong as Hong Kong limited liability partnership pursuant to the Legal Practitioners Ordinance) in association with Francis & Co. In Tokyo, legal services are offered through Addleshaw Goddard's formal alliance with Hashidate Law Office. A list of members/principals for each firm will be provided upon request.

The term partner refers to any individual who is a member of any Addleshaw Goddard entity or association or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications.

If you prefer not to receive promotional material from us, please email us at unsubscribe@addleshawgoddard.com.

For further information please consult our website www.addleshawgoddard.com or www.aglaw.com.