

# DATA PROTECTION – September 2016

### EU data protection watchdog will not challenge EU-US Privacy Shield (yet)

The Article 29 Working Party (WP29) has released a statement on the EU-US Privacy Shield (Privacy Shield) adequacy decision, which was adopted by the EU Commission on 12 July 2016.

Overall, the WP29 welcomes the final changes made to the Privacy Shield and commended the efforts of the EU Commission and the US authorities for taking measures to address its concerns. However, it flags that a number of concerns are still outstanding.

WP29 requested that the Privacy Shield be reviewed post General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in order for rights that are equivalent under this to be incorporated into the Privacy Shield going forwards. The WP29 has indicated that it will not challenge the legality of the shield for at least one year – until the first annual review.

Regardless of the introduction of the Privacy Shield, organisations will still be able to rely on Binding Corporate Rules and the Standard Contractual Clauses (Model Clauses) for transferring data from the EU to the United States. Both these methods have been acknowledged by the European Commission as being valid methods of transferring EU personal data across the Atlantic.

BBC News coverage is available here.

The Article 29 Working Party statement is available here

#### ICO releases comments on EU-US Privacy Shield

Much has been written about the EU-US Privacy Shield (Privacy Shield) since its adoption in July, and now the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) has released a statement on how organisations should approach data transfers to the US. The ICO reminds organisations that if they are still relying on the Safe Harbour regime as the basis to transfer data to the US, that they must review their position. The Safe Harbour regime is no longer considered to give adequate protection and should not be included in contracts. The new Privacy Shield is one alternative option for organisations to use when transferring data to the US, and as a starting point, organisations should check whether their US counterparts are intending to become part of the Privacy Shield regime. The US Department of Commerce has released guidance for organisations which contains a link to a current list of Privacy Shield participants.

Alternative options for organisations include putting into place model clauses in contracts with their US partners, or adopting Binding Corporate Rules. But, as the ICO flags, "Doing nothing is not an option".

The ICO statement is available <u>here</u>.

The US Department of Commerce advice is available <u>here</u>

#### EU Commission on E-Privacy Directive consultation

The EU Commission has published a summary report of the public consultation on the review of the Privacy and Electronic Communications Directive (2002/58/EC) (E-Privacy Directive). The public consultation forms part of the EU Commission's evaluation of the E-Privacy Directive and could potentially have an impact on upcoming legislative reform. The review of the E-Privacy directive aims to reinforce trust and security in EU digital services and forms a key part of the Digital Single Market Strategy.

Last week, EU data regulators published opinions on the E-Privacy Directive, which advocated extending the scope of the regime beyond traditional telephone and internet service providers, to cover all "functionality equivalent" services such as messaging in social networks and voice over IP services. They also suggested increasing consent requirements.

The full report is available here.

.

10-6605259-1

## addleshawgoddard.com

Doha, Dubai, Hong Kong, Leeds, London, Manchester, Muscat, Singapore and Tokyo\*

\*a formal alliance with Hashidate Law Office

© 2016 Addleshaw Goddard LLP. All rights reserved. Extracts may be copied with prior permission and provided their source is acknowledged

This document is for general information only. It is not legal advice and should not be acted or relied on as being so, accordingly Addleshaw Goddard disclaims any responsibility. It does not create a solicitor-client relationship between Addleshaw Goddard and any other person. Legal advice should be taken before applying any information in this document to any facts and circumstances.

Addleshaw Goddard is an international legal practice carried on by Addleshaw Goddard LLP (a limited liability partnership registered in England & Wales and authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority) and its affiliated undertakings. Addleshaw Goddard operates in the Dubai International Financial Centre through Addleshaw Goddard (Middle East) LLP (registered with and regulated by the DFSA), in the Qatar Financial Centre through Addleshaw Goddard (GCC) LLP (licensed by the QFCA), in Oman through Addleshaw Goddard (Middle East) LLP in association with Nasser Al Habsi & Saif Al Mamari Law Firm (licensed by the Oman Ministry of Justice) and in Hong Kong through Addleshaw Goddard (Hong Kong) LLP (a limited liability partnership registered in England & Wales and registered and regulated as a foreign law firm by the Law Society of Hong Kong, operating in Hong Kong as a Hong Kong limited liability partnership pursuant to the Legal Practitioners Ordinance) in association with Francis & Co. In Tokyo, legal services are offered through Addleshaw Goddard's formal alliance with Hashidate Law Office. A list of members/principals for each firm will be provided upon request.

The term partner refers to any individual who is a member of any Addleshaw Goddard entity or association or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications.

If you prefer not to receive promotional material from us, please email us at unsubscribe@addleshawgoddard.com.

For further information please consult our website www.addleshawgoddard.com or www.aglaw.com.